



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/722,760	11/27/00	MICHEL	1999DE 132

EXAMINER	
J. DOTE	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1756	13

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mr. A. Bisulca (Reg. No. 40,913) (3)  
(2) Janis L. Dote (4)

Date of interview: Apr. 24, 2003

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 18

Identification of prior art discussed: none

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The examiner informed applicants' representative that claim 18 was not amended in the amendment filed on Feb. 24, 2003, as argued at page 16 of the amendment, and asked if he intended to amend claim 18 as described in the arguments. In their arguments, applicants state that the amendment to claim 18, limiting the cation to be a low molecular weight organic cation, overcomes the rejections over Higashida, Inculet, and JP'652. Claim 18 was not amended to exclude the cations disclosed in the prior art.

To expedite prosecution, the examiner suggested that Mr. Bisulca fax a supplemental amendment if he did intend to amend claim 18 as discussed in the arguments. Mr. Bisulca stated that he would fax a supplemental amendment to amend claim 18 as discussed in the arguments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

- ☒ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 of the second page of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

- ☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

*Janis L. Dote*